

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Atty. Docket:

Ede

884A.0026.U1(US)

Serial No.: 10/722,961

Art Unit: 2111

Filed: November 26, 2003

Examiner: Spittle, Matthew D.

Customer No.: 29683

Confirmation No.: 9013

Title: An Interface for Serial Data Communication

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This paper memorializes a telephonic interview with Examiner Spittle on July 13, 2006 in the above-captioned case in which the below-signed Applicant's Representative participated. In the telephonic interview, Applicant's Representative indicated that the Examiner's Advisory Action was ambiguous in that it was not clear whether Examiner meant that Applicant's Amendment in Response to Final Rejection dated June 19, 2006 overcame the outstanding rejections (indicating the case may be allowable pending the outcome of a new search), or that the rejections would be maintained. The Examiner confirmed that the outstanding rejections would be maintained.

Respectfully submitted,

David M. O'Neill (35,304)

Customer No.: 29683

HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP

m. 0 Mil (35,304)

4 Research Drive

Shelton, CT 06484-6212

Telephone: (203) 925-9400

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated.

9/1/2006

Name of Person Making Deposit